



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/088,392      | 08/26/2002  | Ingo Beckmann        | VO-564              | 5154             |

7590

08/20/2003

Pauley Petersen  
Kinne & Erickson  
Suite 365  
2800 West Higgins Road  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60195

| EXAMINER |
|----------|
|----------|

EICKHOLT, EUGENE H

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2854

9

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D. C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 10/088392     | 8-26-02     | INGO                  | V0-564              |

| EXAMINER                               |              |
|--|--------------|
| EUGENE H. EICKHOLT<br>PRIMARY EXAMINER |              |
| ART UNIT                               | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2854                                   | 9            |

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MR. DOUG PAULEY (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 8-18-03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: CLAIM 1

Identification of prior art discussed: LUDLUM et al & SCHUEN et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT TO

AMEND CLAIM 1 TO INDICATE FLUORESCENT PIGMENTS ARE IN A SINGLE LAYER OF AT LEAST ONE OF THE PRIMARY PROCESS COILS AS CONTRASTED WITH LUDLUM et al & SCHUEN et al MULTIPLE LAYERED USE. CLAIM 1 WOULD BE ALLOWABLE SUBJECT TO UPDATE SEARCH.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
- ☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

EUGENE H. EICKHOLT EHE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER